PAGE 07

Serial No.: 09/996,087

1 REMARKS 2 These remarks follow the order of the paragraphs of the office action. Relevant portions of the 3 office action are shown indented and italicized. 4 DETAILED ACTION 5 DETAILED ACTION 6 1 This action is in response to application 09/996087 filed 11/28/01 Claims 1-20 are 7 pending and represent method, system and apparatus for associating multi-lingual 8 audio recordings with objects in Internet presentation 9 Claim Rejections -35 USC § 112 10 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C 112: 11 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and 12 distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention 13 3. Regarding claim 1, 10, and 15, the phrase 'such as'' renders the claim $\,$ indefinite 14 because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed 15 invention See MPEP § 2173.05(d) 16 4. Regarding claim 1, 10, and 15, the phrase and/or renders the claim(s) indefinite 17 because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by 18 and/or'), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 19 2173.05(d) 20 In response, applicants respectfully state that claims 1, 10 and 15 are amended to remove the 21 term 'such as'. The claims as amended are definite and overcome the 35 U.S.C 112 rejections. 22 5. Claim 3 recites the limitation 'this one" in claim 1 There is insufficient antecedent 23 basis for this limitation in the claim 24 In response, applicants respectfully state that claim 3 is amended to remove the term 'this one'.

25

The claim as amended is definite and overcomes the 35 U.S.C 112 rejection.